

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

USDS SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #: _____
DATE FILED: _____

----- X
CIF Licensing, L.L.C., Mitsubishi Electric
Corporation, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.,
Thompson Licensing, The Trustees of
Columbia University in the City of New York,
U.S. Philips Corporation, and Koninklijke
Philips Electronics, N.V.,

Plaintiffs

v.

ATI Technologies, Inc.

Defendant.
----- X

C.A. NO. 08 CV 01700 (KMK)

**STIPULATED ORDER EXTENDING
TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO ANSWER,
MOVE, OR OTHERWISE RESPOND**

THIS MATTER having come before the Court pursuant to a Rule 16 conference on August 7, 2008, and counsel for all parties having appeared, and counsel for all parties having reported that a settlement in principle had been reached;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and agreed by the undersigned counsel for all parties that defendant ATI Technologies, Inc.'s time to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint be extended from August 14, 2008 to and including October 14, 2008.

REED SMITH, LLP

By: 

Christian E. Samay

599 Lexington Avenue, 29th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Attorneys for Defendant

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

By: 

Emma Gilmore

125 Broad Street
New York, NY 10004

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SO ORDERED on this 3th day of August, 2008:

By:


Kenneth M. Karas, U.S.D.J.